arXiv:1510.06067v1 [math.NA] 20 Oct 2015

Stability of the semi-tamed and tamed Euler schemes for stochastic differential equations with jumps under non-global Lipschitz condition

Antoine Tambue[a,b], Jean Daniel Mukam[c,d]

[a] *The African Institute for Mathematical Sciences(AIMS) of South Africa and Stellenbosh University, 6-8 Melrose Road, Muizenberg 7945, South Africa*

[b] *Center for Research in Computational and Applied Mechanics (CERECAM), and Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of Cape Town, 7701 Rondebosch, South Africa.*

[c] *African Institute for Mathematical Sciences(AIMS) of Senegal, KM 2, ROUTE DE JOAL, B.P. 1418. MBOUR, SENEGAL*

[d] *Technische Universität Chemnitz, 09126 Chemnitz, Germany*

Abstract

Under non-global Lipschitz condition, Euler Explicit method fails to converge strongly to the exact solution, while Euler implicit method converges but requires much computational efforts. Tamed scheme was first introduced in [2] to overcome this failure of the standard explicit method. This technique is extended to SDEs driven by Poisson jump in [3] where several schemes were analyzed. In this work, we investigate their nonlinear stability under non-global Lipschitz and their linear stability. Numerical simulations to sustain the theoretical results are also provided.

Keywords: Stochastic differential equation, Linear Stability, Exponential Stability, Jump processes, one-sided Lipschitz.

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: antonio@aims.ac.za (Antoine Tambue), jean.d.mukam@aims-senegal.org (Jean Daniel Mukam)

1. Introduction

In this work, we study the stability of the jump-diffusion Itô's stochastic differential equations (SDEs) of the form

$$dX(t) = f(X(t^-))dt + g(X(t^-))dW(t) + h(X(t^-))dN(t), \quad X(0) = X_0, \;\; t \in [0,T], \; T > 0. \tag{1}$$

Here W_t is a m-dimensional Brownian motion, $f : \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfies the one-sided Lipschitz condition and the polynomial growth condition, the functions $g : \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d\times m}$ and $h : \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfy the globally Lipschitz condition, and N_t is a one dimensional poisson process with parameter λ. The one-sided Lipschitz function f can be decomposed as $f = u+v$, where the function $u : \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is the global Lipschitz continuous part and $v : \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is the non-global Lipschitz continuous part. Using this decomposition, we can rewrite the jump-diffusion SDEs (1) in the following equivalent form

$$dX(t) = \big(u(X(t^-) + v(X(t^-))\big)\, dt + g(X(t^-))dW(t) + h(X(t^-))dN(t). \tag{2}$$

Equations of type (1) arise in a range of scientific, engineering and financial applications (see [10, 9, 6] and references therein). The standard explicit methods for approximating SDEs of type (1) is the Euler-Maruyama method and implicit schemes [5, 12]. Their numerical analysis have been studied in [5, 8, 11, 12] with implicit and explicit schemes. Recently it has been proved (see [1]) that the Euler-Maruyama method often fails to converge strongly to the exact solution of nonlinear SDEs of the form (1) without jump term when at least one of the functions f and g grows superlinearly. To overcome this drawback of the Euler-Maruyama method, numerical approximation which computational cost is close to that of the Euler-Maruyama method and which converge strongly even in the case the function f is superlinearly growing was first introduced in [2]. In our accompanied paper [3], the work in [2] has been extended to SDEs of type (1) and the strong convergence of the following numerical schemes has been investigated

$$X_{n+1} = X_n + \frac{\Delta t f(X_n)}{1 + \Delta t \|f(X_n)\|} + g(X_n)\Delta W_n + h(X_n)\Delta N_n, \tag{3}$$

and

$$Y_{n+1} = Y_n + u(Y_n)\Delta t + \frac{\Delta t v(Y_n)}{1 + \Delta t \|v(Y_n)\|} + g(Y_n)\Delta W_n + h(Y_n)\Delta N_n. \tag{4}$$

where $\Delta t = T/M$ is the time step-size, $M \in \mathbb{N}$ is the number of time subdivisions, $\Delta W_n = W(t_{n+1}) - W(t_n)$ and $\Delta N_n = N(t_{n+1}) - N(t_n)$. The scheme (3) is called the non compensated tamed scheme (NCTS), while scheme (4) is called the semi-tamed scheme.

Strong and weak convergences are not the only features of numerical techniques. Stability for SDEs is also a good feature as the information about step size for which does a particular numerical method replicate the stability properties of the exact solution is valuable. The linear stability is an extension of the deterministic A-stability while exponential stability can guarantee that errors introduced in one time step will decay exponentially in future time steps, exponential stability also implies asymptotic stability [4]. By the Chebyshev inequality and the Borel–Cantelli lemma, it is well known that exponential mean square stability implies almost sure stability [4]. The stability of classical implicit and explicit methods for (1) are well understood [5, 4, 8, 13]. Although the strong convergence of the NCTS and STS schemes given respectively by (3) and (4) have been provided in [3], a rigorous stability properties have not yet investigated to the best of our knowledge. The goal of this paper is to study the linear stability and the exponential stability of (3) and (4) for SDEs (1) driven by both Brownian motion and Poisson jump. Our study will also provide the rigorous study of linear stabilities of schemes (3) and (4) for SDEs without jump, which have not yet studied to the best of our knowledge.

The paper is organised as follows. The linear mean-square stability and the exponential mean-square stability of the tamed and semi-tamed schemes are investigated respectively in Section 2 and Section 3. Section 4 presents numerical simulations to sustain the theoretical results. We also compare the stability behaviors of tamed and semi-tamed schemes with those of backward Euler and split-step backward Euler, this comparison shows the good behavior of the semi-tamed scheme and therefore confirms the previous study in [7] for SDEs without jump.

2. Linear mean-square stability

Throughout this work, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ denotes a complete probability space with a filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$. For all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we denote by $\langle x, y\rangle = x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 + \cdots + x_dy_d$, $\|x\| = \langle x, x\rangle^{1/2}$ and

$a \wedge b = \min(a, b)$, for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

The goal of this section is to find the time step-size limit for which the tamed Euler scheme and the semi-tamed Euler scheme are stable in the linear mean-square sense. For the scalar linear test problem, the concept of A-stability of a numerical method may be interpreted as "problem stable $\Rightarrow$ method stable for all Δt". We consider the following linear test equation with real and scalar coefficients.

$$dX(t) = aX(t^-)dt + bX(t^-)dW(t) + cX(t^-)dN(t), \quad X(0) = X_0, \tag{5}$$

where X_0 satisfied $\mathbb{E}\|X_0\|^2 < \infty$. It is proved in [5] that the exact solution of (5) is mean-square stable if and only if

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathbb{E}(X(t)^2) = 0 \Leftrightarrow l := 2a + b^2 + \lambda c(2 + c) < 0. \tag{6}$$

Using the discrete form of (5) , the numerical schemes (4) and (3) will be therefore mean-square stable if $l < 0$ and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}(Y_n^2) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}(X_n^2) = 0. \tag{7}$$

The following result provides the time step-size limit for which the semi-tamed scheme (STS) (4) is is mean-square stable.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that $l < 0$, then $a + \lambda c < 0$ and the semi-tamed scheme* (4) *is mean-square stable if and only if*

$$\Delta t < \frac{-l}{(a + \lambda c)^2}.$$

Proof. Applying the semi-tamed Euler scheme to (5) leads to

$$Y_{n+1} = Y_n + aY_n\Delta t + \lambda cY_n\Delta t + bY_n\Delta W_n + cY_n\overline{N}_n. \tag{8}$$

Squaring both sides of (8) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{n+1}^2 &= Y_n^2 + (a + \lambda c)^2\Delta t^2 Y_n^2 + b^2 Y_n^2 \Delta W_n^2 + c^2 Y_n^2 \Delta\overline{N}_n^2 + 2(a + \lambda c)\Delta t Y_n^2 + 2bY_n^2\Delta W_n \\ &+ 2cY_n^2\Delta\overline{N}_n + 2b(a + \lambda c)\Delta t\Delta W_n Y_n^2 + 2c(a + \lambda c)Y_n^2\Delta t\Delta\overline{N}_n + 2bcY_n^2\Delta W_n\Delta\overline{N}_n. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Taking expectation in both sides of (9) and using the relations $\mathbb{E}(\Delta W_n^2) = \Delta t$, $\mathbb{E}(\Delta \overline{N}_n^2) = \lambda \Delta t$ and $\mathbb{E}(\Delta W_n) = \mathbb{E}(\Delta \overline{N}_n) = 0$ with the fact that ΔW_n and $\Delta \overline{N}_n$ are independents leads to

$$\mathbb{E}|Y_{n+1}|^2 = (1 + (a + \lambda c)^2 \Delta t^2 + (b^2 + \lambda c^2 + 2a + 2\lambda c)\Delta t)\mathbb{E}|Y_n|^2.$$

So, the semi-tamed scheme is stable if and only if

$$1 + (a + \lambda c)^2 \Delta t^2 + (b^2 + \lambda c^2 + 2a + 2\lambda c)\Delta t < 1.$$

That is $\Delta t < \dfrac{-l}{(a + \lambda c)^2}$. ■

The following result provide the time step-size limit for which the non compensated tamed scheme (NCTS) (3) is stable.

Theorem 2.2. *Assume that $l < 0$, the tamed Euler scheme* (3) *is mean-square stable if one of the following conditions is satisfied*

- $a(1 + \lambda c \Delta t) \leq 0$, $2a - l > 0$ *and* $\Delta t < \dfrac{2a - l}{a^2 + \lambda^2 c^2}$.
- $a(1 + \lambda c \Delta t) > 0$ *and* $\Delta t < \dfrac{-l}{(a + \lambda c)^2}$.

Proof. Applying the tamed Euler scheme (3) to equation (5) leads to

$$X_{n+1} = X_n + \frac{aX_n \Delta t}{1 + \Delta t |aX_n|} + bX_n \Delta W_n + cX_n \Delta N_n. \tag{10}$$

By squaring both sides of (10) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} X_{n+1}^2 &= X_n^2 + \frac{a^2 X_n^2 \Delta t^2}{(1 + \Delta t |aX_n|)^2} + b^2 X_n^2 \Delta W_n^2 + c^2 X_n^2 \Delta N_n^2 + \frac{2aX_n^2 \Delta t}{1 + \Delta t |aX_n|} + 2bX_n^2 \Delta W_n \\ &+ 2cX_n^2 \Delta N_n + \frac{2abX_n^2}{1 + \Delta t |aX_n|} \Delta W_n + \frac{2acX_n^2 \Delta t}{1 + \Delta t |aX_n|} \Delta N_n + 2bcY_n^2 \Delta W_n \Delta N_n. \end{aligned}$$

Using the inequality $\dfrac{a^2 \Delta t^2}{1 + \Delta t |aX_n|} < a^2 \Delta t^2$, the previous equality becomes

$$\begin{aligned} X_{n+1}^2 &\leq X_n^2 + a^2 X_n^2 \Delta t^2 + b^2 X_n^2 \Delta W_n^2 + c^2 X_n^2 \Delta N_n^2 + \frac{2aX_n^2 \Delta t}{1 + \Delta t |aX_n|} + 2bX_n^2 \Delta W_n \\ &+ 2cX_n^2 \Delta N_n + \frac{2abX_n^2}{1 + \Delta t |aX_n|} \Delta W_n + \frac{2acX_n^2 \Delta t}{1 + \Delta t |aX_n|} \Delta N_n + 2bcX_n^2 \Delta W_n \Delta N_n. \end{aligned}$$

Taking expectation in both sides of the previous equality and using independence and the fact that $\mathbb{E}(\Delta W_n) = 0$, $\mathbb{E}(\Delta W_n^2) = \Delta t$, $\mathbb{E}(\Delta N_n) = \lambda \Delta t$, $\mathbb{E}(\Delta N_n^2) = \lambda \Delta t + \lambda^2 \Delta t^2$ leads to :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|X_{n+1}|^2 &\leq \left[1 + a^2 \Delta t^2 + b^2 \Delta t + \lambda^2 c^2 \Delta t^2 + (2 + c)\lambda c \Delta t\right] \mathbb{E}|X_n|^2 \\ &+ \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{2aX_n^2 \Delta t(1 + \lambda c \Delta t)}{1 + \Delta t |aX_n|}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

• If $a(1+\lambda c\Delta t)\le 0$, it follows from (11) that

$$\mathbb{E}|X_{n+1}|^2 \le \{1+(a^2+\lambda^2c^2)\Delta t^2+[b^2+\lambda c(2+c)]\Delta t\}\mathbb{E}|X_n|^2.$$

Therefore, the numerical solution is stable if

$$1+(a^2+\lambda^2c^2)\Delta t^2+[b^2+\lambda c(2+c)]\Delta t<1.$$

That is $\Delta t<\dfrac{2a-l}{a^2+\lambda^2c^2}$.

• If $a(1+\lambda c\Delta t)>0$, using the fact that $\dfrac{2aX_n^2\Delta t(1+\lambda c\Delta t)}{1+\Delta t|aX_n|}<2aX_n^2\Delta t(1+\lambda c\Delta t)$, inequality (11) becomes

$$\mathbb{E}|X_{n+1}|^2 \le \left[1+a^2\Delta t^2+b^2\Delta t+\lambda^2c^2\Delta t^2+2\lambda ac\Delta t^2+(2+c)\lambda c\Delta t+2a\Delta t\right]\mathbb{E}|X_n|^2. \quad (12)$$

Therefore, it follows from (12) that the numerical solution is stable if $1+a^2\Delta t^2+b^2\Delta t+\lambda^2c^2\Delta t^2+2\lambda ac\Delta t^2+(2+c)\lambda c\Delta t+2a\Delta t<1$. That is $\Delta t<\dfrac{-l}{(a+\lambda c)^2}$. ■

Remark 2.1. *In Theorem 2.2, we can easily check that if $l<0$, we have:*

$$\begin{cases} a(1+\lambda c\Delta t)\le 0,\\ 2a-l>0\\ \Delta t<\dfrac{2a-l}{a^2+\lambda^2c^2}\end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} a\in(l/2,0],c\ge 0,\\ \Delta t<\dfrac{2a-l}{a^2+\lambda^2c^2}\end{cases} \bigcup \begin{cases} a\in(l/2,0),c<0,\\ \Delta t<\dfrac{2a-l}{a^2+\lambda^2c^2}\\ \Delta t\le\dfrac{-1}{\lambda c}\end{cases} \quad (13)$$

$$\bigcup \begin{cases} a>0,c<0\\ \Delta t<\dfrac{2a-l}{a^2+\lambda^2c^2}\\ \Delta t\ge\dfrac{-1}{\lambda c}\end{cases} \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{cases} a(1+\lambda c\Delta t)>0,\\ \Delta t<\dfrac{-l}{(a+\lambda c)^2}\end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} a>0,c>0,\\ \Delta t<\dfrac{-l}{(a+\lambda c)^2}\end{cases} \bigcup \begin{cases} a>0,c<0,\\ \Delta t<\dfrac{-l}{(a+\lambda c)^2}\wedge\dfrac{-1}{\lambda c}\end{cases} \quad (15)$$

$$\bigcup \begin{cases} a<0,c<0\\ \Delta t<\dfrac{-l}{(a+\lambda c)^2}\\ \Delta t>\dfrac{-1}{\lambda c}\end{cases} \quad (16)$$

3. Nonlinear mean-square stability

In this section, we focus on the exponential mean-square stability of the approximation (4). We follow closely [7, 5] and assume that $f(0) = g(0) = h(0) = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}\|X_0\|^2 < \infty$. It is proved in [5] that under the following conditions,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x-y, f(x)-f(y)\rangle &\leq \mu\|x-y\|^2, &(17)\\ \|g(x)-g(y)\|^2 &\leq \sigma\|x-y\|^2, &(18)\\ \|h(x)-h(y)\|^2 &\leq \gamma\|x-y\|^2, &(19) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, where μ, σ and γ are constants, the exact solution of SDE (1) is nonlinear mean-square stable if $\alpha := 2\mu + \sigma + \lambda\sqrt{\gamma}(\sqrt{\gamma}+2) < 0$. Indeed under the above assumptions, we have [5, Theorem 4]

$$\mathbb{E}\|X(t)\|^2 \leq \mathbb{E}\|X_0\|^2 e^{\alpha t}.$$

So, if $\alpha := 2\mu + \sigma + \lambda\sqrt{\gamma}(\sqrt{\gamma}+2) < 0$ we have $\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\|X(t)\|^2 = 0$ and the exact solution X is exponentially mean-square stable. In the sequel of this section, we will use some weak assumptions, which of courses imply that the conditions (17)-(19) hold.

In order to study the nonlinear stability of the semi-tamed scheme (STS), we make also the following assumptions

Assumption 3.1. *There exist some positive constants ρ, β,$\overline{\beta}$, K, C, θ and $a > 1$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x-y, u(x)-u(y)\rangle \leq -\rho\|x-y\|^2, \qquad & \|u(x)-u(y)\| \leq K\|x-y\|,\\ \langle x-y, v(x)-v(y)\rangle \leq -\beta\|x-y\|^{a+1}, \qquad & \|v(x)\| \leq \overline{\beta}\|x\|^a,\\ \|g(x)-g(y)\| \leq \theta\|x-y\|, \qquad & \|h(x)-h(y)\| \leq C\|x-y\|. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $\alpha_1 = -2\rho + \theta^2 + \lambda C(C+2)$ and we will always assume that $\alpha_1 < 0$ to ensure the stability of the exact solution. The nonlinear stability of STS scheme is given in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Under Assumptions 3.1 and the further hypothesis $2\beta - \overline{\beta} > 0$, for any stepsize $\Delta t < \dfrac{-\alpha_1}{(K+\lambda C)^2} \wedge \dfrac{2\beta}{[2(K+\lambda C)+\overline{\beta}]\overline{\beta}} \wedge \dfrac{2\beta-\overline{\beta}}{2(K+\lambda C)\overline{\beta}}$, there exists a constant $\gamma = \gamma(\Delta t) > 0$*

such that

$$\mathbb{E}\|X_n\|^2 \leq \mathbb{E}\|X_0\|^2 e^{-\gamma\, t_n},\ t_n = n\,\Delta t, \qquad \lim_{\Delta t\to 0}\gamma(\Delta t) = -\alpha_1,$$

and the numerical solution (4) *is exponentiallly mean-square stable.*

Proof. The numerical solution (4) is given by

$$Y_{n+1} = Y_n + \Delta t u_\lambda(Y_n) + \frac{\Delta t v(Y_n)}{1+\Delta t\|v(Y_n)\|} + g(Y_n)\Delta W_n + h(Y_n)\Delta\overline{N}_n,$$

where $u_\lambda = u + \lambda h$.

Taking the inner product in both sides of the previous equation leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Y_{n+1}\|^2 &= \|Y_n\|^2 + \Delta t^2\|u_\lambda(Y_n)\|^2 + \frac{\Delta t^2\|v(Y_n)\|^2}{\left(1+\Delta t\|v(Y_n)\|\right)^2} + \|g(Y_n)\|^2\|\Delta W_n\|^2 + \|h(Y_n)\|^2|\Delta\overline{N}_n|^2 \\
&+ 2\Delta t\langle Y_n, u_\lambda(Y_n)\rangle + 2\Delta t\left\langle Y_n, \frac{v(Y_n)}{1+\Delta t\|v(Y_n)\|}\right\rangle + 2\langle Y_n, g(Y_n)\Delta W_n\rangle \\
&+ 2\langle Y_n, h(Y_n)\Delta\overline{N}_n\rangle + 2\Delta t^2\left\langle u_\lambda(Y_n), \frac{v(Y_n)}{1+\Delta t||v(Y_n)||}\right\rangle \\
&+ 2\Delta t\langle u_\lambda(Y_n), g(Y_n)\Delta W_n\rangle + 2\Delta t\langle u_\lambda(Y_n), h(Y_n)\Delta\overline{N}_n\rangle \\
&+ 2\Delta t\left\langle \frac{v(Y_n)}{1+\Delta t\|v(Y_n)\|}, g(Y_n)\Delta W_n\right\rangle + 2\Delta t\left\langle \frac{v(Y_n)}{1+\Delta t\|v(Y_n)\|}, h(Y_n)\Delta\overline{N}_n\right\rangle \\
&+ 2\langle g(Y_n)\Delta W_n, h(Y_n)\Delta\overline{N}_n\rangle. \qquad (20)
\end{aligned}$$

Using Assumptions 3.1, it follows that

$$2\Delta t\left\langle Y_n, \frac{v(Y_n)}{1+\Delta\|v(Y_n)\|}\right\rangle \leq \frac{-2\beta\Delta t\|Y_n\|^{a+1}}{1+\Delta t\|v(Y_n)\|} \qquad (21)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
2\Delta t^2\left\langle u_\lambda(Y_n), \frac{v(Y_n)}{1+\Delta t\|v(Y_n)\|}\right\rangle &\leq \frac{2\Delta t^2\|u_\lambda(Y_n)\|\|v(Y_n)\|}{1+\Delta t\|v(Y_n\|} \\
&\leq \frac{2\Delta t^2(K+\lambda C)\overline{\beta}\|Y_n\|^{a+1}}{1+\Delta t\|v(Y_n)\|}. \qquad (22)
\end{aligned}$$

Set $\Omega_n = \{\omega\in\Omega : \|Y_n\| > 1\}$.

• On Ω_n we have

$$\frac{\Delta t^2\|v(Y_n)\|^2}{\left(1+\Delta t\|v(Y_n)\|\right)^2} \leq \frac{\Delta t\|v(Y_n)\|}{1+\Delta t\|v(Y_n)\|} \leq \frac{\overline{\beta}\Delta t\|Y_n\|^{a+1}}{1+\Delta t\|v(Y_n)\|}. \qquad (23)$$

Therefore using (21), (22) and (23) in (20) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Y_{n+1}\|^2 &\leq \|Y_n\|^2 + \Delta t^2\|u_\lambda(Y_n)\|^2 + \|g(Y_n)\|^2\|\Delta W_n\|^2 + \|h(Y_n)\|^2|\Delta\overline{N}_n|^2 \\
&+ 2\Delta t\langle Y_n, u_\lambda(Y_n)\rangle + 2\langle Y_n, g(Y_n)\Delta W_n\rangle + 2\langle Y_n, h(Y_n)\Delta\overline{N}_n\rangle \\
&+ 2\Delta t\langle u_\lambda(Y_n), g(Y_n)\Delta W_n\rangle + 2\Delta t\langle u_\lambda(Y_n), h(Y_n)\Delta\overline{N}_n\rangle \\
&+ 2\Delta t\left\langle \frac{v(Y_n)}{1+\Delta t\|v(Y_n)\|}, g(Y_n)\Delta W_n\right\rangle + 2\Delta t\left\langle \frac{v(Y_n)}{1+\Delta t\|v(Y_n)\|}, h(Y_n)\Delta\overline{N}_n\right\rangle \\
&+ 2\langle g(Y_n)\Delta W_n, h(Y_n)\Delta\overline{N}_n\rangle + \frac{\left[-2\beta\Delta t + 2(K+\lambda c)\overline{\beta}\Delta t^2 + \overline{\beta}\Delta t\right]\|Y_n\|^{a+1}}{1+\Delta t\|v(Y_n)\|}. \quad (24)
\end{aligned}$$

For $\Delta t < \dfrac{2\beta - \overline{\beta}}{2(K+\lambda C)\overline{\beta}}$, which is equivalent to $-2\beta\Delta t + 2(K+\lambda C)\overline{\beta}\Delta t^2 + \overline{\beta}\Delta t < 0$, (24) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Y_{n+1}\|^2 &\leq \|Y_n\|^2 + \Delta t^2\|u_\lambda(Y_n)\|^2 + 2\Delta t\langle Y_n, u_\lambda(Y_n)\rangle + \|g(Y_n)\|^2\|\Delta W_n\|^2 \\
&+ \|h(Y_n)\|^2|\Delta\overline{N}_n|^2 + 2\langle Y_n, g(Y_n)\Delta W_n\rangle + 2\langle Y_n, h(Y_n)\Delta\overline{N}_n\rangle \\
&+ 2\Delta t\langle u_\lambda(Y_n), g(Y_n)\Delta W_n\rangle + 2\Delta t\langle u_\lambda(Y_n), h(Y_n)\Delta\overline{N}_n\rangle \\
&+ 2\Delta t\left\langle \frac{v(Y_n)}{1+\Delta t\|v(Y_n)\|}, g(Y_n)\Delta W_n\right\rangle + 2\Delta t\left\langle \frac{v(Y_n)}{1+\Delta t\|v(Y_n)\|}, h(Y_n)\Delta\overline{N}_n\right\rangle \\
&+ 2\langle g(Y_n)\Delta W_n, h(Y_n)\Delta\overline{N}_n\rangle. \quad (25)
\end{aligned}$$

• On Ω_n^c we have

$$\frac{\Delta t^2\|v(Y_n)\|^2}{\left(1+\Delta t\|v(Y_n)\|\right)^2} \leq \frac{\Delta t^2\|v(Y_n)\|^2}{1+\Delta t\|v(Y_n)\|} \leq \frac{\overline{\beta}^2\Delta t^2\|Y_n\|^{2a}}{1+\Delta t\|v(Y_n)\|} \leq \frac{\overline{\beta}^2\Delta t^2\|Y_n\|^{a+1}}{1+\Delta t\|v(Y_n)\|}. \quad (26)$$

Therefore, using (21), (22) and (26) in (20) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Y_{n+1}\|^2 &\leq \|Y_n\|^2 + \Delta t^2\|u_\lambda(Y_n)\|^2 + 2\Delta t\langle Y_n, u_\lambda(Y_n)\rangle + \|g(Y_n)\|^2\|\Delta W_n\|^2 \\
&+ \|h(Y_n)\|^2|\Delta\overline{N}_n|^2 + 2\langle Y_n, g(Y_n)\Delta W_n\rangle + 2\langle Y_n, h(Y_n)\Delta\overline{N}_n\rangle \\
&+ 2\Delta t\langle u_\lambda(Y_n), g(Y_n)\Delta W_n\rangle + 2\Delta t\langle u_\lambda(Y_n), h(Y_n)\Delta\overline{N}_n\rangle \\
&+ 2\Delta t\left\langle \frac{v(Y_n)}{1+\Delta t\|v(Y_n)\|}, g(Y_n)\Delta W_n\right\rangle + 2\Delta t\left\langle \frac{v(Y_n)}{1+\Delta t\|v(Y_n)\|}, h(Y_n)\Delta\overline{N}_n\right\rangle \\
&+ 2\langle g(Y_n)\Delta W_n, h(Y_n)\Delta\overline{N}_n\rangle + \frac{\left[-2\beta\Delta t + 2(K+\lambda c)\overline{\beta}\Delta t^2 + \overline{\beta}^2\Delta t^2\right]\|Y_n\|^{a+1}}{1+\Delta t\|v(Y_n)\|} \quad (27)
\end{aligned}$$

For $\Delta t < \dfrac{2\beta}{[2(K+\lambda C)+\overline{\beta}]\overline{\beta}}$, which is equivalent to $-2\beta\Delta t + 2(K+\lambda C)\overline{\beta}\Delta t^2 + \overline{\beta}^2\Delta t^2 < 0$,

(27) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Y_{n+1}\|^2 &\leq \|Y_n\|^2 + \Delta t^2\|u_\lambda(Y_n)\|^2 + 2\Delta t\langle Y_n, u_\lambda(Y_n)\rangle + \|g(Y_n)\|^2\|\Delta W_n\|^2 \\
&+ \|h(Y_n)\|^2|\Delta\overline{N}_n|^2 + 2\langle Y_n, g(Y_n)\Delta W_n\rangle + 2\langle Y_n, h(Y_n)\Delta\overline{N}_n\rangle \\
&+ 2\Delta t\langle u_\lambda(Y_n), g(Y_n)\Delta W_n\rangle + 2\Delta t\langle u_\lambda(Y_n), h(Y_n)\Delta\overline{N}_n\rangle \\
&+ 2\Delta t\left\langle \frac{v(Y_n)}{1+\Delta t\|v(Y_n)\|}, g(Y_n)\Delta W_n\right\rangle + 2\Delta t\left\langle \frac{v(Y_n)}{1+\Delta t\|v(Y_n)\|}, h(Y_n)\Delta\overline{N}_n\right\rangle \\
&+ 2\langle g(Y_n)\Delta W_n, h(Y_n)\Delta\overline{N}_n\rangle. \qquad (28)
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, from the discussion above on Ω_n and Ω_n^c, it follows that on Ω, if $\Delta t \leq \frac{2\beta}{[2(K+\lambda C)+\overline{\beta}]\overline{\beta}} \wedge \frac{2\beta-\overline{\beta}}{2(K+\lambda C)\overline{\beta}}$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Y_{n+1}\|^2 &\leq \|Y_n\|^2 + \Delta t^2\|u_\lambda(Y_n)\|^2 + 2\Delta t\langle Y_n, u_\lambda(Y_n)\rangle + \|g(Y_n)\|^2\|\Delta W_n\|^2 \\
&+ \|h(Y_n)\|^2||\Delta\overline{N}_n\|^2 + 2\langle Y_n, g(Y_n)\Delta W_n\rangle + 2\langle Y_n, h(Y_n)\Delta\overline{N}_n\rangle \\
&+ 2\Delta t\langle u_\lambda(Y_n), g(Y_n)\Delta W_n\rangle + 2\Delta t\langle u_\lambda(Y_n), h(Y_n)\Delta\overline{N}_n\rangle \\
&+ 2\Delta t\left\langle \frac{v(Y_n)}{1+\Delta t\|v(Y_n)\|}, g(Y_n)\Delta W_n\right\rangle + 2\Delta t\left\langle \frac{v(Y_n)}{1+\Delta t\|v(Y_n)\|}, h(Y_n)\Delta\overline{N}_n\right\rangle \\
&+ 2\langle g(Y_n)\Delta W_n, h(Y_n)\Delta\overline{N}_n\rangle. \qquad (29)
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the expectation in both sides of (29) and using the martingale properties of ΔW_n and $\Delta\overline{N}_n$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\|Y_{n+1}\|^2 &\leq \mathbb{E}\|Y_n\|^2 + \Delta t^2\mathbb{E}\|u_\lambda(Y_n)\|^2 + 2\Delta t\mathbb{E}\langle Y_n, u_\lambda(Y_n)\rangle + \Delta t\mathbb{E}\|g(Y_n)\|^2 \\
&+ \lambda\Delta t\mathbb{E}\|h(Y_n)\|^2. \qquad (30)
\end{aligned}$$

From Assumptions 3.1, we have

$$\|u_\lambda(Y_n)\|^2 \leq (K+\lambda C)^2\|Y_n\|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle Y_n, u_\lambda(Y_n)\rangle \leq (-\rho+\lambda C)\|Y_n\|^2.$$

So inequality (30) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\|Y_{n+1}\|^2 &\leq \mathbb{E}\|Y_n\|^2 + (K+\lambda C)^2\Delta t^2\mathbb{E}\|Y_n\|^2 + 2(-\rho+\lambda C)\Delta t\mathbb{E}\|Y_n\|^2 + \theta^2\Delta t\mathbb{E}\|Y_n\|^2 \\
&+ \lambda C^2\Delta t\mathbb{E}\|Y_n\|^2 \\
&= \left[1 - 2\rho\Delta t + (K+\lambda C)^2\Delta t^2 + 2\lambda C\Delta t + \theta^2\Delta t + \lambda C^2\Delta t\right]\mathbb{E}\|Y_n\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Iterating the previous inequality leads to

$$\mathbb{E}\|Y_n\|^2 \leq \left[1 - 2\rho\Delta t + (K+\lambda C)^2\Delta t^2 + 2\lambda C\Delta t + \theta^2\Delta t + \lambda C^2\Delta t\right]^n \mathbb{E}\|X_0\|^2$$

for $\Delta t \leq \dfrac{2\beta}{[2(K+\lambda C)+\overline{\beta}]\overline{\beta}} \wedge \dfrac{2\beta-\overline{\beta}}{2(K+\lambda c)\overline{\beta}}$.

The stability occurs if and only if $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\|Y_n\|^2 = 0$, so we should also have

$$1 - 2\rho\Delta t + (K+\lambda C)^2\Delta t^2 + 2\lambda C\Delta t + \theta^2\Delta t + \lambda C^2\Delta t < 1.$$

That is

$$\Delta t < \frac{-[-2\rho + \theta^2 + \lambda C(2+C)]}{(K+\lambda C)^2} = \frac{-\alpha_1}{(K+\lambda C)^2}, \tag{31}$$

and there exists a constant $\gamma = \gamma(\Delta t) > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\|X_n\|^2 \leq \left[1 - 2\rho\Delta t + (K+\lambda C)^2\Delta t^2 + 2\lambda C\Delta t + \theta^2\Delta t + \lambda C^2\Delta t\right]^n \mathbb{E}\|X_0\|^2 \leq \mathbb{E}\|X_0\|^2 e^{-\gamma t_n},$$

By the Taylor expansion, as

$$\begin{aligned}&\ln(1 - 2\rho\Delta t + (K+\lambda C)^2\Delta t^2 + 2\lambda C\Delta t + \theta^2\Delta t + \lambda C^2\Delta t)\\ =\ & -2\rho\Delta t + (K+\lambda C)^2\Delta t^2 + 2\lambda C\Delta t + \theta^2\Delta t + \lambda C^2\Delta t\ + ...,\end{aligned}$$

we obviously have $\lim\limits_{\Delta t\to 0}\gamma(\Delta t) = -(-2\rho + \theta^2 + \lambda C(2+C)) = -\alpha_1$. ■

In order to analyse the nonlinear mean-square stability of the tamed Euler scheme (NCTS), we use the following assumption.

Assumption 3.2. *There exist some positive constant β, $\overline{\beta}$, θ, μ, K, ρ, C and $a > 1$ such that :*

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle x-y, f(x)-f(y)\rangle \leq -\rho\|x-y\|^2 - \beta\|x-y\|^{a+1},\\ &\|f(x)\| \leq \overline{\beta}\|x\|^a + K\|x\|,\\ &\|g(x)-g(y)\| \leq \theta\|x-y\|, \qquad \|h(x)-h(y)\| \leq C\|x-y\|,\\ &\langle x-y, h(x)-h(y)\rangle \leq -\mu\|x-y\|^2.\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Remark 3.1. *Apart from* (32)*, Assumption 3.2 is a consequence of Assumption 3.1.*

Using Assumption 3.2, we can easily check that the exact solution of (1) is exponentiallly mean-square stable if $\alpha_2 := -2\rho + \theta^2 + \lambda C(2+C) < 0$.

Theorem 3.2. *Under Assumption 3.2, if* $\beta - C\overline{\beta} > 0$, $\overline{\beta}(1+2C) - 2\beta < 0$, *and* $\alpha_3 := K + \theta^2 + \lambda C(2K+C) - 2\lambda\mu C < 0$, *for any stepsize*

$$\Delta t < \frac{-\alpha_3}{2K^2 + \lambda^2 C^2} \wedge \frac{\beta - C\overline{\beta}}{\overline{\beta}^2},$$

there exists a constant $\gamma = \gamma(\Delta t) > 0$ *such that*

$$\mathbb{E}\|X_n\|^2 \leq \mathbb{E}\|X_0\|^2 e^{-\gamma t_n},\ t_n = n\,\Delta t, \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \gamma(\Delta t) = -\alpha_3.$$

and the numerical solution (3) *is exponentiallly mean-square stable.*

Proof. From equation (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|X_{n+1}\|^2 &= \|X_n\|^2 + \frac{\Delta t^2 \|f(X_n)\|^2}{(1+\Delta t\|f(X_n)\|)^2} + \|g(X_n)\Delta W_n\|^2 + \|h(X_n)\Delta N_n\|^2 \\
&+ 2\left\langle X_n, \frac{\Delta t f(X_n)}{1+\Delta t\|f(X_n)\|}\right\rangle + 2\left\langle X_n + \frac{\Delta t f(X_n)}{1+\Delta t\|f(X_n)\|}, g(X_n)\Delta W_n\right\rangle \\
&+ 2\left\langle X_n + \frac{\Delta t f(X_n)}{1+\Delta t\|f(X_n)\|}, h(X_n)\Delta N_n\right\rangle + 2\langle g(X_n)\Delta W_n, h(X_n)\Delta N_n\rangle. \quad (33)
\end{aligned}$$

Using Assumption 3.2, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
2\left\langle X_n, \frac{\Delta t f(X_n)}{1+\Delta t\|f(X_n)\|}\right\rangle &\leq \frac{-2\Delta t\rho\|X_n\|^2}{1+\Delta t\|f(X_n)\|} - \frac{2\beta\Delta t\|X_n\|^{a+1}}{1+\Delta t\|f(X_n)\|} \\
&\leq -\frac{2\beta\Delta t\|X_n\|^{a+1}}{1+\Delta t\|f(X_n)\|}. \\
\|g(X_n)\Delta W_n\|^2 &\leq \theta^2\|X_n\|^2\|\Delta W_n\|^2 \\
\|h(X_n)\Delta N_n\|^2 &\leq C^2\|X_n\|^2|\Delta N_n|^2. \\
2\langle X_n, h(X_n)\Delta N_n\rangle &= 2\langle X_n, h(X_n)\rangle\Delta N_n \leq -2\mu\|X_n\|^2|\Delta N_n|,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
2\left\langle \frac{\Delta t f(X_n)}{1+\Delta t\|f(X_n)\|}, h(X_n)\Delta N_n\right\rangle &\leq \frac{2\Delta t\|f(X_n)\|\|h(X_n)\||\Delta N_n|}{1+\Delta t\|f(X_n)\|} \\
&\leq \frac{2\Delta t C\overline{\beta}\|X_n\|^{a+1}}{1+\Delta t\|f(X_n)\|}|\Delta N_n| + 2CK\|X_n\|^2|\Delta N_n|
\end{aligned}$$

So from Assumption 3.2, we have

$$\begin{cases} \left\langle X_n, \dfrac{\Delta t f(X_n)}{1+\Delta t\|f(X_n)\|} \right\rangle \leq -\dfrac{2\beta\Delta t\|X_n\|^{a+1}}{1+\Delta t\|f(X_n)\|} \\ \|g(X_n)\Delta W_n\|^2 \leq \theta^2\|X_n\|^2\|\Delta W_n\|^2 \\ \|h(X_n)\Delta N_n\|^2 \leq C^2\|X_n\|^2|\Delta N_n|^2 \\ 2\langle X_n, h(X_n)\Delta N_n\rangle \leq -2\mu\|X_n\|^2|\Delta N_n| \\ 2\left\langle \dfrac{\Delta t f(X_n)}{1+\Delta t\|f(X_n)\|}, h(X_n)\Delta N_n \right\rangle \leq \dfrac{2\Delta t C\overline{\beta}\|X_n\|^{a+1}}{1+\Delta t\|f(X_n)\|}|\Delta N_n| + 2CK\|X_n\|^2|\Delta N_n| \end{cases} \tag{34}$$

Let us define $\Omega_n := \{w \in \Omega : \|X_n(\omega)\| > 1\}$.

• On Ω_n, using Assumption 3.2 we have :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Delta t^2\|f(X_n)\|^2}{\left(1+\Delta t\|f(X_n)\|\right)^2} &\leq \frac{\Delta t\|f(X_n)\|}{1+\Delta t\|f(X_n)\|} \leq \frac{\Delta t\overline{\beta}\|X_n\|^a}{1+\Delta t\|f(X_n)\|} + K\Delta t\|X_n\| \\ &\leq \frac{\Delta t\overline{\beta}\|X_n\|^{a+1}}{1+\Delta t\|f(X_n)\|} + K\Delta t\|X_n\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Therefore using (34) and (35) in (33) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|X_{n+1}\|^2 &\leq \|X_n\|^2 + K\Delta t\|X_n\|^2 + \theta^2\|X_n\|^2\|\Delta W_n\|^2 + C^2\|X_n\|^2|\Delta N_n|^2 \\ &+ 2\left\langle X_n + \frac{\Delta t f(X_n)}{1+\Delta t\|f(X_n)\|}, g(X_n)\Delta W_n \right\rangle - 2\mu\|X_n\|^2|\Delta N_n| + 2CK|\Delta N_n| \\ &+ 2\langle g(X_n)\Delta W_n, h(X_n)\Delta N_n\rangle + \frac{\left[-2\beta\Delta t + \overline{\beta}\Delta t + 2\overline{\beta}C\Delta t\right]\|X_n\|^{a+1}}{1+\Delta t\|f(X_n)\|}. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Since $\overline{\beta}(1+2C) - 2\beta < 0$, (36) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \|X_{n+1}\|^2 &\leq \|X_n\|^2 + K\Delta t\|X_n\|^2 + \theta^2\|X_n\|^2\|\Delta W_n\|^2 + C^2\|X_n\|^2|\Delta N_n|^2 \\ &+ 2\left\langle X_n + \frac{\Delta t f(X_n)}{1+\Delta t\|f(X_n)\|}, g(X_n)\Delta W_n \right\rangle - 2\mu\|X_n\|^2|\Delta N_n| + 2CK|\Delta N_n| \\ &+ 2\langle g(X_n)\Delta W_n, h(X_n)\Delta N_n\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

• On Ω_n^c, using Assumption 3.2 and the inequality $(a+b)^2 \leq 2a^2 + 2b^2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Delta t^2\|f(X_n)\|^2}{\left(1+\Delta t\|f(X_n)\|\right)^2} &\leq \frac{\Delta t^2\|f(X_n)\|^2}{1+\Delta t\|f(X_n)\|} \leq \frac{2\Delta t^2\overline{\beta}^2\|X_n\|^{2a}}{1+\Delta t\|f(X_n)\|} + 2K^2\Delta t^2\|X_n\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{2\Delta t^2\overline{\beta}^2\|X_n\|^{a+1}}{1+\Delta t\|f(X_n)\|} + 2K^2\Delta t^2\|X_n\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Therefore, using (34) and (38), (33) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\|X_{n+1}\|^2 &\leq \|X_n\|^2 + 2K^2\Delta t^2\|X_n\|^2 + \theta^2\|X_n\|^2\|\Delta W_n\|^2 + C^2\|X_n\|^2|\Delta N_n|^2 \\ &+ 2\left\langle X_n + \frac{\Delta t f(X_n)}{1+\Delta t\|f(X_n)\|}, g(X_n)\Delta W_n\right\rangle - 2\mu\|X_n\|^2|\Delta N_n| + 2CK|\Delta N_n| \\ &+ 2\langle g(X_n)\Delta W_n, h(X_n)\Delta N_n\rangle + \frac{\left[2C\overline{\beta}\Delta t - 2\beta\Delta t + 2\overline{\beta}^2\Delta t^2\right]\|X_n\|^{a+1}}{1+\Delta t\|f(X_n)\|}. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

For $\Delta t < \dfrac{\beta - C\overline{\beta}}{\overline{\beta}^2}$, which is equivalent to $2C\overline{\beta}\Delta t - 2\beta\Delta t + 2\overline{\beta}^2\Delta t^2 < 0$, (39) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\|X_{n+1}\|^2 &\leq \|X_n\|^2 + 2K^2\Delta t^2\|X_n\|^2 + \theta^2\|X_n\|^2\|\Delta W_n\|^2 + C^2\|X_n\|^2|\Delta N_n|^2 \\ &+ 2\left\langle X_n + \frac{\Delta t f(X_n)}{1+\Delta t\|f(X_n)\|}, g(X_n)\Delta W_n\right\rangle - 2\mu\|X_n\|^2|\Delta N_n| + 2CK|\Delta N_n| \\ &+ 2\langle g(X_n)\Delta W_n, h(X_n)\Delta N_n\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

From the above discussion on Ω_n and Ω_n^c, it follows that on Ω, if $\Delta t < \dfrac{\beta - C\overline{\beta}}{\overline{\beta}^2}$ and $\overline{\beta}(1 + 2C) - 2\beta < 0$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|X_{n+1}\|^2 &\leq \|X_n\|^2 + K\Delta t\|X_n\|^2 + 2K^2\Delta t^2\|X_n\|^2 + \theta^2\|X_n\|^2\|\Delta W_n\|^2 + C^2\|Y_n\|^2|\Delta N_n|^2 \\ &+ 2\left\langle X_n + \frac{\Delta t f(X_n)}{1+\Delta t\|f(X_n)\|}, g(X_n)\Delta W_n\right\rangle - 2\mu\|X_n\|^2|\Delta N_n| + 2CK|\Delta N_n| \\ &+ 2\langle g(X_n)\Delta W_n, h(X_n)\Delta N_n\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

Taking the expectation in both sides of (41), using the relation $\mathbb{E}\|\Delta W_n\| = 0$, $\mathbb{E}\|\Delta W_n\|^2 = \Delta t$, $\mathbb{E}|\Delta N_n| = \lambda\Delta t$ and $\mathbb{E}|\Delta N_n|^2 = \lambda^2\Delta t^2 + \lambda\Delta t$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\|X_{n+1}\|^2 &\leq \mathbb{E}\|X_n\|^2 + K\Delta t\mathbb{E}\|X_n\|^2 + 2K^2\Delta t^2\mathbb{E}\|X_n\|^2 + \theta^2\Delta t\mathbb{E}\|X_n\|^2 + \lambda^2C^2\Delta t^2\mathbb{E}\|X_n\|^2 \\ &+ \lambda C^2\Delta t\mathbb{E}\|X_n\|^2 - 2\mu\lambda\Delta t\mathbb{E}\|X_n\|^2 + 2\lambda CK\Delta t\mathbb{E}\|X_n\|^2 \\ &= \left[1 + (2K^2 + \lambda^2C^2)\Delta t^2 + (K + \theta^2 + \lambda C^2 - 2\mu\lambda + 2\lambda CK)\Delta t\right]\mathbb{E}\|Y_n\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Iterating the last inequality leads to

$$\mathbb{E}\|X_n\|^2 \leq \left[1 + (2K^2 + \lambda^2C^2)\Delta t^2 + (K + \theta^2 + \lambda C^2 - 2\mu\lambda + 2\lambda CK)\Delta t\right]^n\mathbb{E}\|X_0\|^2.$$

To have the stability of the NCTS scheme, we should also have

$$1 + (2K^2 + \lambda^2C^2)\Delta t^2 + (K + \theta^2 + \lambda C^2 - 2\mu\lambda + 2\lambda CK)\Delta t < 1.$$

That is

$$\Delta t < \frac{-[K + \theta^2 + \lambda C^2 - 2\mu\lambda + 2\lambda CK]}{2K^2 + \lambda^2 C^2},$$

and there exists a constant $\gamma = \gamma(\Delta t) > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\|X_n\|^2 \leq \mathbb{E}\|X_0\|^2 e^{-\gamma\, t_n},\ t_n = n\,\Delta t.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we obviously have $\lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \gamma(\Delta t) = -(K + \theta^2 + \lambda C(2K + C) - 2\mu\lambda) = -\alpha_3$. ■

Remark 3.2. *Note that from the studies above, we can deduce the linear stabilities of schemes* (3) *and* (4) *for SDEs without jump by setting* $c = 0$. *However, by setting* $h = 0$ *we obtain the nonlinear stability of semi-tamed scheme* (4) *without jump performed in [7].*

4. Numerical simulations

The goal of this section is to provide some practical examples to sustain our theoretical results in the previous section. We compare the stability behaviors of the tamed scheme and the semi-tamed scheme with those of numerical schemes presented in [5]. More precisely, we test the stability of the semi-tamed scheme, tamed scheme, backward Euler and split-step backward Euler schemes with different stepsizes. We denote by Y_n all the approximated solutions from those schemes.

4.1. Linear case

Here we consider the following linear stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = -X_t dt + 2X_t dW_t - 0.9X_t dN_t, \quad t \in (0, 1], \quad X_0 = 1,$$

where the intensity of the poisson process is taken to be $\lambda = 9$. So $l = 2a + b^2 + \lambda c(2 + c) = -0.91 < 0$, which ensures the linear mean-square stable of the exact solution. We can easily check from the theoretical results in the previous section that for $\Delta t < 0.08$ the semi-tamed and the tamed Euler scheme reproduce the linear mean-square property of the exact solution. In Figure 1, we illustrate the mean-square stability of the semi-tamed scheme, the tamed

scheme, the Backward and the Spli-Step backward Euler scheme [5] for different stepsizes. We take $\Delta t = 0.02, 0.01$ and 0.005, and generate 5×10^3 paths for each numerical method. We can observe from Figure 1 that the semi-tamed scheme works well with the Backward and Spli-Step backward Euler schemes. We can also observe that the semi-tamed scheme works better than the tamed Euler scheme, and in some case overcomes the Backward and Spli-Step backward Euler schemes.

4.2. Nonlinear case

For nonlinear stability, we consider the following nonlinear stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = \left(-\frac{12}{100}X_t - X_t^3\right)dt + \frac{1}{10}X_t dW_t - \frac{1}{10}dN_t, \quad t \in (0,2], \qquad X_0 = 1.$$

The poisson process intensity is $\lambda = 1$, $f(x) = -\frac{12}{100}x - x^3$, $g(x) = \frac{1}{10}x$ and $h(x) = -\frac{1}{10}x$. We take $u(x) = -\frac{12}{100}x$ and $v(x) = -x^3$. Indeed, we obviously have

$$\langle x - y, f(x) - f(y)\rangle \leq -\frac{12}{100}(x-y)^2$$
$$|g(x) - g(y)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{100}(x-y)^2, \quad |h(x) - h(y)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{100}(x-y)^2.$$

Then $\mu = -\frac{12}{100}$, $\sigma = \gamma = \frac{1}{100}$ and $\alpha = 2\mu + \sigma + \lambda\sqrt{\gamma}(\sqrt{\gamma}+2) = -\frac{1}{50} < 0$. It follows that the exact solution is exponentially mean-square stable. One can easily check that for $\Delta t < 0.41$ from theoretical results the semi-tamed and the tamed Euler reproduce the exponentially mean-square stability property of the exact solution. Figure 2 illustrates the stability of the tamed scheme, the semi-tamed scheme, the Backward Euler and the Split-Step Backward Euler for different stepsizes. We take $\Delta t = 0.04, 0.02$ and 0.01 and generate 3×10^3 samples for each numerical method. We can observe that all the schemes have the same stability behavior, although tamed and semi-tamed schemes are very efficient and Backward Euler and the Split-Step Backward Euler less efficient as nonlinear equations are solved for each time step.

Acknowledgements

This project was supported by the Robert Bosch Stiftung through the AIMS ARETE chair programme.



Figure 1: Linear stability of the tamed scheme (a), semi-tamed scheme (b), Split-Step Backward Euler (c) and Backward Euler (d) for $\Delta t = 0.02, 0.01, 0.005$ and 5×10^3 samples of each numerical scheme.



Figure 2: Nonlinear stability of the tamed scheme (a), semi-tamed scheme (b), Split-Step Backward Euler (c) and Backward Euler (d) for $\Delta t = 0.04, 0.01, 0.01$ and 3×10^3 samples of each numerical scheme.

REFERENCES

References

[1] M. Hutzenthaler, A.Jentzen and P. E. Kloeden. Divergence of the multilevel of the multilevel Monte Carlo Euler Euler Method for nonlinear Stochastic Differential Equations. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, 23(5)(2013), 1913–1966.

[2] M. Hutzenthaler, A. Jentzen and P. E. Kloeden. Strong convergence of an explicit numerical method for SDES with nonglobally Lipschitz continous coefficients. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, 22(4)(2012), 1611-1641.

[3] A. Tambue, and J. D. Mukam. Strong convergence of the tamed and the semi-tamed Euler schemes for stochatic differential equations with jumps under non-global Lipschitz condition *Submitted*, 2015.

[4] Chengming Huang. Exponential mean square stability of numerical methods for systems of stochastic differential equations. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 236 (2012) 4016–4026.

[5] D.J. Higham, and P.E. Kloeden. Numerical methods for nonlinear stochastic differential equations with jumps. *Numer. Math.*, 101 (2005) 101–119.

[6] F. C Klebaner. Introduction To Stochastic Calculus With Applications. *Second edition, Imperial College Press*, London WC2H 9HE, 2004.

[7] X. Zong, F. Wu and C.Huang. Convergence and stability of the semi-tamed Euler scheme for stochastic differential equations with non-Lipschitz continous coefficients. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 228 (2014), 240–250.

[8] X. Wang, and S. Gan. Compensated stochastic theta methods for stochastic differential equations with jumps. Applied Numerical Mathematics, 60(2010), 877–887.

[9] R. Cont and P. Tankov. Modelling With Jump Processes. *Chapman & Hall/CRC*, Florida, 2004.

[10] I. I. Gikhman and A. V. Skorokhod. Stochastic Differential Equations. *Springer-Verlag*, Berlin, 1972.

[11] D. J. Higham and P. E. Kloeden. Convergence and Stability of Implicit Methods for Jump-diffusion Systems. *International Journal of Numerical Analysis and Modelling*, 3(2006), pp. 125–140.

[12] E. Platen and N. Bruti-Liberati. Solution of Stochastic Differential Equations with Jumps in Finance. *Spinger-Verlag*, Berlin, 2010.

[13] Xiaojie Wang, and Siqing Gan. Compensated stochastic theta methods for stochastic differential equations with jumps. *Applied Numerical Mathematics* , 60 (2010) 877–887.